Review Article

Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation for Regeneration of Infarcted Myocardium: Clinical Trials

GEORGIOS PAXINOS¹, DEMOSTHENES KATRITSIS²

¹Cardiology Department, Cephalonia General Hospital, ²Cardiology Department, Athens Euroclinic, Greece

Key words: Stem cells, myocardial infarction, myoblasts, bone marrow.

Manuscript received: January 4, 2008; Accepted: February 18, 2008.

Address:
Demosthenes Katritsis

Athens Euroclinic 9 Athanasiadou St., 11521 Athens e-mail: dkatritsis@euroclinic.gr

tem cells, or progenitor cells, are undifferentiated human cells that have the ability to produce the types of cell that exist in their anatomical surroundings (homing, transdifferentiation). 1-3 In this way they can develop into different cellular types and regenerate damaged tissue. In human bone marrow, stem cells replace more than one million red blood cells every day. Stem cells were recognised and isolated for the first time in 1997, when it was determined that in the healthy human neovascularisation could occur after the neonatal period.¹ Stem cells were initially identified in embryonic tissues, but recent data have demonstrated their presence in adult tissues, too, where their main function is cell renewal after tissue injury, illness, or ageing.^{4,5}

There are three basic categories of pluripotent stem cells: a) embryonic stem cells; b) bone marrow stem cells; 2,3 and c) tissue-specific stem cells, such as fibroblasts and myoblasts. In the human body there are 200 trillion cells and 200 types of cell. To date, in humans about 20-30 types of stem cell have been identified. They are usually differentiated by the expression of surface antigen markers: *haemopoietic* stem cells, which are characterised mainly by the expression of surface marker CD34+; *mesenchymal* stem cells, which contribute to the regeneration of bones, ligaments, muscles, tendons, and fatty and connective tis-

sue;⁹ and *endothelial* stem cells, which are characterised by the expression of the surface markers CD133⁺ and CD34⁺ and contribute to the regeneration of the endothelium.¹⁰

Role of stem cells in myocardial regeneration

The interest of clinical cardiologists in stem cells developed recently, when it was shown that these cells could contribute to the regeneration of infarcted myocardium.^{4,5} Indeed, myocardial cells show mitotic activity after a myocardial infarction, which means that the heart is not a post-mitotic organ.¹¹ A further indication of the active role of these cells was given by the detection of Y chromosomes in the myocardium of female patients who had undergone bone marrow transplantation from a male donor.¹² In healthy men, the levels of endothelial progenitor cells are a biological index of vascular function and cardiovascular risk.¹³ Recently, specialised stem cells have also been detected in the myocardium, where they have the capability of regenerating myocardial tissue.¹⁴

Bone marrow stem cells, skeletal myoblasts, and embryonic cells have been used in experimental and clinical studies for the regeneration of infarcted myocardium. Skeletal myoblasts were the first cells used for the regeneration of infarcted myocardium in humans. However, the initial encouraging results were overshadowed by indications that this type of therapy could have proarrhythmic complications. Early clinical studies showed that the incidence of sustained ventricular arrhythmias was of the order of 40%, ^{15,16} although in later studies the percentage was smaller. ^{17,18} The precise mechanism of the arrhythmia is unknown, but it may be related to the lack of a gap junctional coupling between myoblasts and endogenous myocardial cells that prevents the electrical integration of implanted cells. ¹⁹ Thus, implanted myoblasts survive as isolated islands, impeding propagation of the stimulus, slowing conduction, and causing re-entrant arrhythmias.

Embryonic stem cells were the first progenitor cells to be discovered.²⁰ They are the only totipotent cells and this property makes them especially attractive for such applications. They have been tested in the regeneration of myocardial tissue and pacemaker cells under experimental conditions.²¹⁻²³ Associated problems are their low rate of differentiation into cardiac myocytes and the possibility of causing neoplasms (teratomas).²⁴

Cell types and delivery methods

Most studies to date have used implantation of whole bone marrow or mainly endothelial stem cells, which promote neovascularisation in the infarcted region:

- 1. Mononuclear whole bone marrow cells *ex vi*- vo^{25} or after overnight cultivation, ²⁶ which include both myocardial and endothelial progenitor cells, but in a small proportion.
- 2. Enriched CD133⁺ cells,²⁷ which contribute to revascularisation but cannot regenerate myocardial tissue.
- 3. A heterogeneous population of cells originating from the peripheral blood, considered to be highly enriched with endothelial progenitor cells.²⁸ In this case, if the implanted cells are really endothelial progenitors they will be able to accelerate revascularisation but not to regenerate myocardial cells.
- 4. Another approach is the administration of cells after cultivation of bone marrow for several days, so as to develop a sufficient number of mesenchymal cells while maintaining the original number of endothelial cells.^{29,30}

Mesenchymal cells are a particularly interesting type of progenitor cell whose differentiation capabilities have been recognised since 1999. These cells are

found mainly in the bone marrow and represent about 0.01% of the mononuclear cell fraction. They can proliferate exclusively *in vitro* without apparent ageing or loss of their differentiation potential and their ability to colonise the myocardium and to differentiate into myocardial cells has been proven.

Neovascularisation in adults depends mainly on endothelial stem cells. There is growing evidence that endothelial stem cells are found mainly in the CD133⁺ cell fraction.³⁴ Apart from promoting vascularisation, an in vitro study has shown that endothelial stem cells are able to differentiate into myocardial cells.³⁵ However, more recent evidence rules out the possibility that endothelial stem cells can differentiate into myocardial cells in vivo. 36,37 Recently, there have been significant indications that mesenchymal stem cells do not increase the susceptibility to action potentials in myocardial cells that survive in the zone between healthy and infarcted myocardium. Human mesenchymal cells can express all three cardiac connective proteins (connexins 40, 43 and 45) and can form functional gap junctions with myocardial cells.^{38,39} Mesenchymal stem cells create an electrical coupling with the living myocardial cells and this action reduces the likelihood of re-entry at the borders of the infarcted region.⁴⁰ In fact, three published clinical studies of transplanted mesenchymal cells have indicated a reduction in ventricular arrhythmias. 29,30,41 Furthermore, assessment of five patients who already had a defibrillator before stem cell implantation, in a study from our laboratory, showed no increase in arrhythmic activity during the two years after transplantation.⁴²

As far as the transplantation method is concerned, three strategies have been described for implanting autologous bone marrow cells in human myocardium: epicardial implantation during cardiac surgical procedures;^{25,27} endomyocardial implantation within the left ventricle using electroanatomical techniques;⁴³ and intracoronary implantation using a method similar to angioplasty. 26,28 Although the intracoronary approach is technically the easiest, less than 5% of implanted cells finally remain with the infarcted myocardium after intracoronary administration. 44 Endomyocardial implantation allows direct access to peri-infarcted regions, which theoretically have the best chance of regeneration; on the other hand, endomyocardial implantation of mesenchymal cells can create isolated tissue structures within the myocardium.⁴⁵

Clinical trials

The use of autologous bone marrow stem cells has

had the widest application in clinical studies of regeneration of infarcted myocardium. The studies published to date are shown in Table 1. It is apparent from the table that the most common transplantation method is intracoronary delivery, while most researchers have used cultured bone marrow cells. Table 2 shows the randomised, controlled trials from which conclusions may be drawn concerning the efficacy of the method. It is interesting that five studies were unable to show any beneficial effect. In addition, the mode of action of the cells has not yet been determined and does not appear to involve significant regeneration of myocardial tissue. Suggested mechanisms include induction of neovascularisation, remodelling of the connective tissue that forms the

chronic scarring, further mobilisation of a larger number of similar cells from the bone marrow, and paracrine effects. 46,47,77

The safety of the use of bone marrow stem cells has been satisfactorily proven. These cells do not appear to be arrhythmogenic, though some reports have raised the possibility of calcified foci within the myocardium following grafting. ⁴⁵ Of course, the safety of any new method requires long-term monitoring of the patients concerned before definite conclusions can be drawn.

Conclusions

Regeneration of infarcted myocardium using autologous stem cells in humans is a new strategy for the

Table 1. Clinical studies of stem cell administration for the regeneration of infarcted myocardium.

Study	Patients	Cell type	Mode of delivery	
Stamm ²⁷	12	ВМС	Open heart/epicardial	
Li^{48}	70	CPC (GCSF)	Open heart/epicardial	
Galiñanes ⁴⁹	14	BMC	Open heart/epicardial	
Hendrikx ⁵⁰	20	BMC	Open heart/epicardial	
Ozbaran ⁵¹	6	GCSF-mobilised CPC	Open heart/epicardial	
Pompilio ⁵²	4	GCSF-mobilised AC133 ⁺		
Tse ²⁵	8	BMC	Endomyocardial	
Perin ⁴³	14	BMC	Endomyocardial	
Fuchs ⁵³	10	BMC	Endomyocardial	
Losordo ⁵⁴	24	GCSF-mobilised CD34 ⁺	Endomyocardial	
Strauer ²⁶	10	BMC	Intracoronary	
REPAIR-AMI ⁵⁵	204	BMC	Intracoronary	
ASTAMI ⁵⁶	100	BMC	Intracoronary	
BOOST ⁵⁷	60	BMC	Intracoronary	
Fernández-Avilés ⁵⁸	20	BMC	Intracoronary	
IACT study ⁵⁹	18	BMC	Intracoronary	
Meluzín ⁶⁰	60	BMC	Intracoronary	
ГСТ-STAMI ⁶¹	20	BMC	Intracoronary	
Ruan ⁶²	20	BMC	Intracoronary	
ГОРСАRE-AMI ⁶³	59	BMC/CPC	Intracoronary/subcutaneous	
ГОРСАRE-CHD ⁶⁴	75	BMC/CPC	Intracoronary	
MAGIC cell ⁶⁵	27	CPC(GCSF)/GCSF	Intracoronary/subcutaneous	
MAGIC Cell-3-DES ⁶⁶	96	BMC/GCSF	Intracoronary	
Katritsis ²⁹	11	MSC+EPC	Intracoronary	
Chen ³⁰	69	MSC	Intracoronary	
Bartunek ⁶⁸	19	EPC (CD133 ⁺)	Intracoronary	
Manginas ⁶⁹	12	EPC (CD133 ⁺ ,133 ⁻ ,34 ⁺)	Intracoronary	
Li ⁷⁰	70	GCSF-mobilised CPC	Intracoronary	
Erbs ⁷¹	26	CPC (GCSF)	Intracoronary	
Boyle ⁷²	5	GCSF-mobilised CD34 ⁺	Intracoronary	
Janssens ⁷³	67	BMC	Intracoronary	
PROVACEL ⁴¹	53	MSC	Intravenous	
FIRSTLINE-AMI ⁷⁴	30	GCSF	Subcutaneous	
REVIVAL-2 ⁷⁵	114	GCSF	Subcutaneous	
STEMMI ⁷⁶	62	GCSF	Subcutaneous	

 $BMC-bone\ marrow\ stem\ cells;\ CPC-circulating\ progenitor\ cells;\ EPC-endothelial\ progenitor\ cells;\ GCSF-granulocyte\ colony-stimulating\ factor;\ MSC-mesenchymal\ cells.$

Table 2. Randomised, controlled trials of stem cell administration for the regeneration of infarcted myocardium.

Study	Patients	Cell type	Mode of delivery	Endpoint	p	Follow up (months)
MAGIC cell ⁶⁵	27	CPC(GCSF)/GCSF	IC/SC	LVEF	0.005	6
Chen ³⁰	69	MSC	IC	LVEF	0.01	3
TOPCARE-AMI ⁶³	59	BMC/CPC	IC/SC	LVEF	< 0.001	12
FIRSTLINE-AMI ⁷⁴	30	GCSF	SC	LVEF	< 0.003	12
REPAIR-AMI ⁵⁵	204	BMC	IC	Clinical events	0.006	12
TOPCARE-CHD ⁶⁴	75	BMC/CPC	IC	LVEF	< 0.001	3
Erbs ⁷¹	26	CPC (GCSF)	IC	Infarct size	< 0.05	3
ASTAMI ⁵⁶	100	BMC	IC	Infarct size	0.07	6
BOOST ⁵⁷	60	BMC	IC	LVEF	0.27	18
Janssens ⁷³	67	BMC	IC	LVEF	0.36	4
REVIVAL-2 ⁷⁵	114	GCSF	SC	Infarct size	0.56	4-6
STEMMI ⁷⁶	62	GCSF	SC	LVEF	0.7	6
PROVACEL ⁴¹	53	MSC	IV	LVEF	0.04	6
Losordo ⁵⁴	24	CD34 ⁺ (GCSF)	IM	SPECT	-	6
MAGIC Cell-3-DES ⁶⁶	96	BMC/GCSF	IC	LVEF	< 0.05	6
TCT-STAMI ⁶¹	20	BMC	IC	LVEF	< 0.05	6
Meluzín ⁶⁰	60	BMC	IC	LVEF	0.027	3
Ruan ⁶²	20	BMC	IC	LVEF	< 0.05	6
Hendrikx ⁵⁰	20	BMC	EC	LVEF	0.23	4
Li ⁴⁸	70	CPC (GCSF)	IC	LVEF	0.041	6
Tse ⁶⁷	28	BMC	IM	LVEF	0.044	6

EC – epicardial; IC – intracoronary; IM – intramuscular; IV – intravenous; LVEF – left ventricular ejection fraction; SC – subcutaneous; SPECT – single photon emission computed tomography. Other abbreviations as in Table 1.

treatment of patients with myocardial infarction and possible heart failure. The clinical trials published to date suggest, but do not prove, a clear improvement in infarcted myocardium as a result of this technique. The method appears to be clinically safe, but many questions still remain unanswered regarding the mode of action of this therapy, the ideal way of delivery, the most effective types of cell, and the patient populations who are most likely to benefit from this treatment.

References

- 1. Asahara T, Murohara T, Sullivan A, et al. Isolation of putative progenitor endothelial cells for angiogenesis. Science. 1997; 275: 964-967.
- Forrester JS, Price MJ, Makkar RR. Stem cell repair of infarcted myocardium: an overview for clinicians. Circulation. 2003; 108: 1139-1145.
- Murry CE, Reinecke H, Pabon LM. Regeneration gaps: observations on stem cells and cardiac repair. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006; 47: 1777-1785.
- Makino S, Fukuda K, Miyoshi S, et al. Cardiomyocytes can be generated from marrow stromal cells in vitro. J Clin Invest. 1999; 103: 697-705.
- Orlic D, Kajstura J, Chimenti S, et al. Bone marrow cells regenerate infarcted myocardium. Nature. 2001; 410: 701-705.
- Magnuson T, Epstein CJ, Silver LM, Martin GR. Pluripotent embryonic stem cell lines can be derived from tw5/tw5 blastocysts. Nature. 1982; 298: 750-753.

- Murry CE, Wiseman RW, Schwartz SM, et al. Skeletal myoblast transplantation for repair of myocardial necrosis. J Clin Invest. 1996; 98: 2512-2523.
- Metcalf D. Concise review: Hematopoietic stem cells and tissue stem cells: current concepts and unanswered questions. Stem Cells. 2007; 25: 2390-2395.
- Pittenger MF, Mackay AM, Beck SC, et al. Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. Science. 1999; 284: 143
- Iwami Y, Masuda H, Asahara T. Endothelial progenitor cells: past, state of the art, and future. J Cell Mol Med. 2004; 8: 488-407
- Beltrami AP, Urbanek K, Kajstura J, et al. Evidence that human cardiac myocytes divide after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 2001; 344: 1750.
- 12. Deb A, Wang S, Skelding KA, et al. Bone marrow-derived cardiomyocytes are present in adult human heart: A study of gender-mismatched bone marrow transplantation patients. Circulation. 2003; 107: 1247-1249.
- Hill JM, Zalos G, Halcox JP, et al. Circulating endothelial progenitor cells, vascular function, and cardiovascular risk. N Engl J Med. 2003; 348: 593-600.
- Bearzi C, Rota M, Hosoda T, et al. Human cardiac stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007; 104: 14068-14073.
- Menasche P, Hagege AA, Vilquin JT, et al. Autologous skeletal myoblast transplantation for severe postinfarction left ventricular dysfunction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003; 41: 1078-1110.
- Siminiak T, Kalawski R, Fiszer D, et al. Autologous skeletal myoblast transplantation for the treatment of postinfarction myocardial injury: phase I clinical study with 12 months of follow-up. Am Heart J. 2004; 148: 531-537.
- 17. Dib N, Michler RE, Pagani FD, et al. Safety and feasibility of

- autologous myoblast transplantation in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy: four-year follow-up. Circulation. 2005; 112: 1748-1755.
- Gavira JJ, Herreros J, Perez A, et al. Autologous skeletal myoblast transplantation in patients with nonacute myocardial infarction: 1-year follow-up. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2006; 131: 799-804.
- Cohen IS, Rosen AB, Gaudette GR. A caveat emptor for myocardial regeneration: mechanical without electrical recovery will not suffice. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2007; 42: 285-288.
- Wobus AM, Wallukat G, Hescheler J. Pluripotent mouse embryonic stem cells are able to differentiate into cardiomyocytes expressing chronotropic responses to adrenergic and
 cholinergic agents and Ca2+ channel blockers. Differentiation. 1991; 48: 173-182.
- Menard C, Hagege AA, Agbulut O, et al. Transplantation of cardiac-committed mouse embryonic stem cells to infarcted sheep myocardium: a preclinical study. Lancet. 2005; 366: 1005-1012.
- 22. Kehat I, Kenyagin-Karsenti D, Snir M, et al. Human embryonic stem cells can differentiate into myocytes with structural and functional properties of cardiomyocytes. J Clin Invest. 2001; 108: 407-414.
- 23. Xue T, Cho HC, Akar FG, et al. Functional integration of electrically active cardiac derivatives from genetically engineered human embryonic stem cells with quiescent recipient ventricular cardiomyocytes: insights into the development of cell-based pacemakers. Circulation. 2005; 111: 11-20.
- 24. Leor J, Gerecht S, Cohen S, et al. Human embryonic stem cell transplantation to repair the infarcted myocardium. Heart. 2007; 93: 1278-1284.
- 25. Tse H-F, Kwong Y-L, Chan JKF, Lo G, Ho C-L, Lau C-P. Angiogenesis in ischaemic myocardium by intramyocardial autologous bone marrow mononuclear cell implantation. Lancet. 2003; 361: 47-49.
- Strauer BE, Brehm M, Zeus T, et al. Repair of infracted myocardium by autologous intracoronary mononuclear bone marrow cell transplantation in humans. Circulation. 2002; 106: 1913.
- 27. Stamm C, Westphal B, Kleine HD, et al. Autologous bonemarrow stem-cell transplantation for myocardial regeneration. Lancet. 2003; 361: 45-46.
- Assmus B, Schachinger V, Teupe C, et al. Transplantation of progenitor cells and regeneration enhancement in acute myocardial infarction (TOPCARE-AMI). Circulation. 2002; 106: 3009-3017.
- Katritsis DG, Sotiropoulou PA, Karvouni E, et al. Transcoronary transplantation of autologous mesenchymal stem cells and endothelial progenitors into infarcted human myocardium. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2005; 65: 321-329.
- Chen SL, Fang WW, Ye F, et al. Effect on left ventricular function of intracoronary transplantation of autologous bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol. 2004; 94: 92-95.
- Jiang Y, Jahagirdar BN, Reinhardt RL, et al. Pluripotency of mesenchymal stem cells derived from adult marrow. Nature. 2002; 418: 41-49.
- 32. Barbash IM, Chouraqui P, Baron J, et al. Systemic delivery of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells to the infracted myocardium: feasibility, cell migration, and body distribution. Circulation. 2003; 108: 863-868.
- Toma C, Pittenger MF, Cahill KS, Byrne BJ, Kessler PD. Human mesenchymal stem cells differentiate to a cardiomyocyte phenotype in the adult murine heart. Circulation. 2002; 105: 93-98.

- Gehling UM, Ergun S, Schumacher U, et al. In vitro differentiation of endothelial cells from AC133-positive progenitor cells. Blood. 2000; 95: 3106-3125.
- Badorff C, Brandes RP, Popp R, et al. Transdifferentiation of blood-derived human adult endothelial progenitor cells into functionally active cardiomyocytes. Circulation. 2003; 107: 1024-1032.
- Murry CE, Soonpaa MH, Reinecke H, et al. Hematopoietic stem cells do not transdifferentiate into cardiac myocytes in myocardial infarcts. Nature. 2004; 428:664-668.
- Balsam LB, Wagers AJ, Christensen JL, Kofidis T, Weissman IL, Robbins RC. Haematopoietic stem cells adopt mature haematopoietic fates in ischaemic myocardium. Nature. 2004; 428: 668-673.
- 38. Valiunas V, Doronin S, Valiuniene L, et al. Human mesenchymal stem cells make cardiac connexins and form functional gap junctions. J Physiol. 2004; 555: 617-626.
- Mills WR, Mal N, Kiedrowski MJ, et al. Stem cell therapy enhances electrical viability in myocardial infarction. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2007;42:304-314.
- Beeres SL, Atsma DE, van der Laarse A, et al. Human adult bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells repair experimental conduction block in rat cardiomyocyte cultures. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005; 46:1943-1952.
- Hare J. PROVACEL trial. Myocardial infarction: doubleblind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2006; 367: 113-121. Presented at i2 Summit. 2007 American College of Cardiology [Internet]. Available from: http://www.osiris.com/ clinical_trials_provacel.php
- 42. Katritsis DG, Sotiropoulou P, Giazitzoglou E, Karvouni E, Papamichail M. Electrophysiological effects of intracoronary transplantation of autologous mesenchymal and endothelial progenitor cells. Europace. 2007; 9: 167-171.
- Perin EC, Dohmann HF, Borojevic R, et al. Transendocardial, autologous bone marrow cell transplantation for severe, chronic ischemic heart failure. Circulation. 2003; 107: 2294.
- 44. Hofmann M, Wollert KC, Meyer GP, et al. Monitoring of bone marrow cell homing into the infarcted human myocardium. Circulation. 2005; 111: 2198-2202.
- Breitbach M, Bostani T, Roell W, et al. Potential risks of bone marrow cell transplantation into infarcted hearts. Blood. 2007; 110: 1362-1369.
- 46. Anversa P, Leri A, Kajstura J. Cardiac regeneration. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006; 47: 1769-1776.
- 47. Bartunek J, Dimmeler S, Drexler H, et al; task force of the European Society of Cardiology. The consensus of the task force of the European Society of Cardiology concerning the clinical investigation of the use of autologous adult stem cells for repair of the heart. Eur Heart J. 2006; 27: 1338-1340.
- 48. Li ZQ, Zhang M, Jing YZ, et al. The clinical study of autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation by intracoronary infusion in patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI). Int J Cardiol. 2007; 115: 52-56.
- Galiñanes M, Loubani M, Davies J, Chin D, Pasi J, Bell PR. Autotransplantation of unmanipulated bone marrow into scarred myocardium is safe and enhances cardiac function in humans. Cell Transplant. 2004; 13: 7-13.
- Hendrikx M, Hensen K, Clijsters C, et al. Recovery of regional but not global contractile function by the direct intramyocardial autologous bone marrow transplantation: results from a randomized controlled clinical trial. Circulation. 2006; 114 (1 Suppl.): I101-107.

- Ozbaran M, Omay SB, Nalbantgil S, et al. Autologous peripheral stem cell transplantation in patients with congestive heart failure due to ischemic heart disease. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2004; 25: 342-350.
- Pompilio G, Cannata A, Peccatori F, et al. Autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation for myocardial regeneration: a novel strategy for cell collection and surgical injection. Ann Thorac Surg. 2004; 78: 1808-1812.
- Fuchs S, Satler LF, Kornowski R, et al. Catheter-based autologous bone marrow myocardial injection in no-option patients with advanced coronary artery disease: a feasibility study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003; 41: 1721-1724.
- Losordo DW, Schatz RA, White CJ, et al. Intramyocardial transplantation of autologous CD34+ stem cells for intractable angina: a phase I/IIa double-blind, randomized controlled trial. Circulation. 2007; 115: 3165-3172.
- 55. Schachinger V, Erbs S, Elsasser A, et al; REPAIR-AMI Investigators. Improved clinical outcome after intracoronary administration of bone-marrow-derived progenitor cells in acute myocardial infarction: final 1-year results of the REPAIR-AMI trial. Eur Heart J. 2006; 27: 2775-2783.
- Lunde K, Solheim S, Aakhus S, et al. Intracoronary injection of mononuclear bone marrow cells in acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 2006; 355: 1199-1209.
- 57. Meyer GP, Wollert KC, Lotz J, et al. Intracoronary bone marrow cell transfer after myocardial infarction: eighteen months' follow-up data from the randomized, controlled BOOST (BOne marrow transfer to enhance ST-elevation infarct regeneration) trial. Circulation. 2006; 113: 1287-1294.
- Fernández-Avilés F, San Román JA, García-Frade J, et al. Experimental and clinical regenerative capability of human bone marrow cells after myocardial infarction. Circ Res. 2004; 95: 742-748.
- Strauer BE, Brehm M, Zeus T, et al. Regeneration of human infarcted heart muscle by intracoronary autologous bone marrow cell transplantation in chronic coronary artery disease: the IACT Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005; 46: 1651-1658.
- Meluzín J, Janoušek S, Mayer J, et al. Three-, 6-, and 12-month results of autologous transplantation of mononuclear bone marrow cells in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Int J Cardiol 2007 Aug 29 [Epub ahead of print].
- Ge J, Li Y, Qian J, et al. Efficacy of emergent transcatheter transplantation of stem cells for treatment of acute myocardial infarction (TCT-STAMI). Heart. 2006; 92: 1764-1767.
- 62. Ruan W, Pan CZ, Huang GQ, Li YL, Ge JB, Shu XH. Assessment of left ventricular segmental function after autologous bone marrow stem cells transplantation in patients with acute myocardial infarction by tissue tracking and strain imaging. Chin Med J (Engl). 2005; 118: 1175-1181.
- Schachinger V, Assmus B, Britten MB, et al. Transplantation of progenitor cells and regeneration enhancement in acute myocardial infarction: final one-year results of the TOPCARE-AMI Trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004: 44: 1690-1699.
- Assmus B, Honold J, Schachinger V, et al. Transcoronary transplantation of progenitor cells after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 2006; 355: 1222-1232.
- Kang HJ, Kim HS, Zhang SY, et al. Effects of intracoronary infusion of peripheral blood stem-cells mobilised with granulo-

- cyte-colony stimulating factor on left ventricular systolic function and restenosis after coronary stenting in myocardial infarction: the MAGIC cell randomised clinical trial. Lancet. 2004; 363: 751-756.
- 66. Kang HJ, Lee HY, Na SH, et al. Differential effect of intracoronary infusion of mobilized peripheral blood stem cells by granulocyte colony-stimulating factor on left ventricular function and remodeling in patients with acute myocardial infarction versus old myocardial infarction: the MAGIC Cell-3-DES randomized, controlled trial. Circulation. 2006; 114(1 Suppl.): I145-151.
- Tse HF, Thambar S, Kwong YL, et al. Prospective randomized trial of direct endomyocardial implantation of bone marrow cells for treatment of severe coronary artery diseases (PRO-TECT-CAD trial). Eur Heart J. 2007; 28: 2998-3005.
- Bartunek J, Vanderheyden M, Vandekerckhove B, et al. Intracoronary injection of CD133-positive enriched bone marrow progenitor cells promotes cardiac recovery after recent myocardial infarction: feasibility and safety. Circulation. 2005; 112 (Suppl. I): 1178-183.
- 69. Manginas A, Goussetis E, Koutelou M, et al. Pilot study to evaluate the safety and feasibility of intracoronary CD133(+) and CD133(-) CD34(+) cell therapy in patients with nonviable anterior myocardial infarction. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2007; 69: 773-781.
- Li TS, Hayashi M, Ito H, et al. Regeneration of infarcted myocardium by intramyocardial implantation of ex vivo transforming growth factor-beta-preprogrammed bone marrow stem cells. Circulation. 2005; 111: 2438-2445.
- Erbs S, Linke A, Adams V, et al. Transplantation of blood-derived progenitor cells after recanalization of chronic coronary artery occlusion: first randomized and placebo-controlled study. Circ Res. 2005; 97: 756-762.
- Boyle AJ, Whitbourn R, Schlicht S, et al. Intra-coronary highdose CD34+ stem cells in patients with chronic ischemic heart disease: a 12-month follow-up. Int J Cardiol. 2006; 109: 21-27.
- Janssens S, Dubois C, Bogaert J, et al. Autologous bone marrow-derived stem-cell transfer in patients with ST-segment elevation. Lancet. 2006; 367: 87-88.
- 74. Ince H, Petzsch M, Kleine HD, et al. Prevention of left ventricular remodeling with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor after acute myocardial infarction: final 1-year results of the Front-Integrated Revascularization and Stem Cell Liberation in Evolving Acute Myocardial Infarction by Granulocyte Colony-Stimulating Factor (FIRSTLINE-AMI) Trial. Circulation. 2005; 112(9 Suppl.): I73-80.
- Zohlnhofer D, Ott I, Mehilli J, et al; REVIVAL-2 Investigators. Stem cell mobilization by granulocyte colony-stimulating factor in patients with acute myocardial infarction: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2006; 295: 1003-1010.
- 76. Ripa RS, Jorgensen E, Wang Y, et al. Stem cell mobilization induced by subcutaneous granulocyte-colony stimulating factor to improve cardiac regeneration after acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction: result of the double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled stem cells in myocardial infarction (STEMMI) trial. Circulation. 2006; 113: 1983-1992.
- 77. Samadikuchaksaraei A. Stem cell therapy for acute myocardial infarction. Hellenic J Cardiol. 2006; 47: 100-111.